

# **Fed Challenge**

7<sup>th</sup> District High School Fed Challenge Judges' Instructions Score Sheet Scoring Rubric

#### **Conducting the Competition**

#### **Video Submission Round (Round 1)**

- I. Students will submit 15-minute presentations. Presentations should consist of the following elements:
  - a. An analysis of current economic conditions (as of the day of the competition); these conditions may include broad macroeconomic conditions as well as conditions experienced in different geographic areas, urban/rural areas, or different demographic and socioeconomic groups (e.g. racial and ethnic groups, age groups), borrowers and savers, etc.
  - **b.** A forecast of near term economic and financial conditions relevant to the formulation of monetary policy;
  - **c.** A discussion of significant risks to the economy that should receive special attention in formulating monetary policy; these risks may include the possible effects (positive or negative) of monetary policies on different segments of the population.
  - **d.** A monetary policy recommendation, encompassing both traditional tools and newer approaches as warranted. Presenters should give supporting reasons for their recommendation.
- II. Each judge should give a score between 1 (lowest) and 10 (highest) in each of the five categories on the score sheet (see p. 4). Consult the scoring grid (see p. 3) for guidance in awarding points in specific categories.
- III. Finalists will be invited for 15-minute live, virtual WebEx Q&A sessions with a second round of judges. Finalists will be determined based on ranking from Round I Scores and division assignment.

#### Finalist Q&A Round (Round 2)

- IV. In the finalist Q&A round, judges will ask two of the same questions to each team. The question period is an opportunity to determine the extent to which students are knowledgeable about the Fed, monetary policy, and the information in their presentation. Questions should be of four types:
  - Follow-up questions related to data, analyses or recommendations made during the presentation (e.g. What evidence do you have to support your statement that deflation is more of a risk to the U.S. economy than inflation? How might your recommendation affect the economic circumstances of different areas/groups?)
  - Hypothetical questions (e.g. Suppose the number of new jobs created over the next three months totaled 100,000, would that change your prescription for monetary policy?)
  - Questions about macro-economic theory (e.g. How much weight should policy makers give the Phillips Curve?)
  - Questions about the Fed (e.g. Once the FOMC reaches its decision, how are policy decisions implemented?)
- V. Six teams will participate in the finalist Q&A round three from Yellen Division Experienced and three from Bernanke Division Rookie.
- VI. After the last presentation, the judges will deliberate to decide the winners of Yellen Division Experienced and Bernanke Division Rookie.

### Scoring Grid for Reference – please mark scores on Score Sheets

Category	10	8-9	5-6-7	3-4	1-2
Knowledge of the Fed, current state of the economy and monetary policy	☐ Always presents accurate information and demonstrates a thorough understanding of basic and sophisticated concepts.	☐ Consistently presents accurate information and demonstrates a thorough understanding of the basic concepts.	☐ Frequently presents accurate information and demonstrates average understanding of the basic concepts.	☐ Mixes accurate and inaccurate information and demonstrates less than average understanding of the basic concepts.	☐ Provides little accurate information and demonstrates poor understanding of the basic concepts.
Response to judges' questions	<ul> <li>□ Always answers to the point and shows poise under pressure.</li> <li>□ Always demonstrates the ability to think quickly.</li> <li>□ Extremely persuasive in defending positions that are challenged.</li> </ul>	☐ Consistently answers to the point and shows poise under pressure. ☐ Consistently demonstrates the ability to think quickly. ☐ Convincing in defending positions that are challenged.	☐ Frequently answers to the point and shows poise under pressure. ☐ Frequently demonstrates the ability to think quickly. ☐ Adequately defends positions that are challenged.	☐ Occasionally answers to the point and shows poise under pressure. ☐ Occasionally demonstrates the ability to think quickly. ☐ Less that adequately defends positions that are challenged.	☐ Rarely answers to the point and shows poise under pressure. ☐ Rarely demonstrates the ability to think quickly. ☐ Provides poor defenses for positions that are challenged.
Presentation	□ Extremely persuasive in advocacy role. □ Always demonstrates logical and coherent organization. □ Each student speaks with great confidence and with sufficient volume to be heard by all. □ Always integrates audiovisual aids/media appropriately. □ Never read from notes or a script.	□ Persuasive in advocacy role. □ Consistently demonstrates logical and coherent organization. □ Most students speak with confidence and with sufficient volume to be heard by all. □ Consistently integrates audio-visual aids/media appropriately. □ Rarely read from notes or a script.	☐ Frequently persuasive in advocacy role. ☐ Frequently demonstrates logical and coherent organization. ☐ Some students speak with confidence and with sufficient volume to be heard by all. ☐ Frequently integrates audio-visual aids/media appropriately. ☐ Occasionally read from notes or a script.	□ Occasionally persuasive in advocacy role.     □ Occasionally demonstrates logical and coherent organization.     □ Few students speak with confidence and with sufficient volume to be heard by all.     □ Occasionally integrates audio-visual aids/media appropriately.     □ Frequently read from notes or script.	□ Rarely persuasive in advocacy role. □ Rarely demonstrates logical and coherent organization. □ Students speak with a minimum of confidence and with insufficient volume to be heard by all. □ Rarely integrates audiovisual aids/media appropriately. □ Always read from notes or a script.
Research and analysis	□ Conclusions drawn from the data are always logical and insightful.     □ Recommendations are always supported by relevant data.     □ A wide variety of authoritative sources are used.	☐ Conclusions drawn from the data are, most often, logical and insightful. ☐ Recommendations are consistently supported by relevant data. ☐ Mostly authoritative sources are used.	☐ Conclusions drawn from the data frequently are logical and insightful. ☐ Recommendations are frequently supported by relevant data. ☐ Some authoritative sources are used.	☐ Conclusions drawn from the data occasionally are logical and insightful. ☐ Recommendations are occasionally supported by relevant data. ☐ Few authoritative sources are used.	☐ Conclusions drawn from the data lack logic and insight. ☐ Recommendations are rarely supported by relevant data. ☐ Authoritative sources are ignored.
Teamwork and cooperation	☐ Each team member plays a substantial and integral role. ☐ Demonstrates extensive evidence of coordination among team members.	☐ Although some team members play a greater role than others, each student contributes significantly. ☐ Demonstrates significant evidence of coordination among team members.	☐ Some team members dominate, while the others contribute to varying degrees. ☐ Demonstrates some evidence of coordination among team members.	☐ Some team members dominate, while the others make modest contributions. ☐ Demonstrates little evidence of coordination among team members.	☐ One or two team members dominate, while the others contribute negligibly. ☐ Demonstrates insignificant evidence of coordination among team members.

## Team Score Sheet – Team \_\_\_\_\_

Category	Score (1-10)	Comments		
	1=lowest 10=highest	(place check marks in the appropriate places)		
Knowledge of the Fed, current state of the economy and monetary policy		Knowledge of the Fed and monetary policy was: Superior Good Sufficient Insufficient		
Response to judge's questions		<ul> <li>Responses indicated:         <ul> <li>Exceptional understanding of most content and concepts</li> <li>Good understanding of content and concepts</li> <li>Sufficient understanding of content and concepts</li> <li>Poor understanding of content and concepts</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
Presentation		Presentation revealed:     Excellent organization and use of data     Good organization and use of data     Uneven organization and use of data     Poor organization and use of data		
Research and analysis		<ul> <li>Research yielded information that was:</li> <li> Extensive and insightful</li> <li> Somewhat thorough and mostly appropriate</li> <li> Basic and at times appropriate</li> <li> Inappropriate and/or mostly incorrect</li> </ul>		
Teamwork and cooperation		Team demonstrated Excellent teamwork (all team members played a substantial role) Good teamwork Fair teamwork Poor teamwork (one or two students dominated)		
Total				