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## Job Networks

- Social Networks used as Job Networks--The quality of the information in these job information networks has been found to be a factor in the individual's employment outcomes as the information tends to reflect the employment characteristics of the people in the network.
- Explored by Melendez and Falcon 2001
- Showing low wage outcomes for Blacks: Oliver and Lichter 1996
- And Latinos: Greene, Tigges, and Diaz 1999


## All Job Networks are not Equal

- Networks can lead to lower wages: Datcher-Loury 2006, Elliott 1999, Green, Tigges, and Diaz 1999
- Networks can lead to higher wages: Rosenbaum, DeLuca, Miller and Roy, 1999, Marmaros and Sacerdote 2002
- Networks can have no effect with respect to wages: Holzer 1987, Marsden and Gorman 2001
- Networks can have either effect: Montgomery 1991


## Residential Segregation

- Residential segregation limits access to economic resources: Dickerson 2002, Dickerson 2007, Cutler and Glaeser 1997
- Can mediate educational differences: Orfield 1993
- Can mediate employer demand for workers: Kirschenman and Neckerman 1992, Fernandez and Su 2004
- Can create a spatial mismatch between jobs and workers: Ihlanfeldt and Sjoquist 1998


## Job Isolation

- In our paper we measure job isolation using the index of dissimilarity.
- The D index is used to measure isolation by race or ethnicity in cells of jobs on the "minimum wage contour."
- The "minimum wage contour" is a cluster of major occupation by major industry cells found by Spriggs and Klein 1993, and updated by Rodgers, Spriggs and Klein 2003 where the starting wage of young less educated workers tracks movements in the minimum wage as opposed to movements in the average wage.
- Occupational segregation among less educated workers, blacks compared to whites, or Latinos compared to whites is low (as compared to men compared to women)
- But, differences in industry tend to be a little higher.


## Job Isolation in 1990

Distribution of D Index Top 100 MSAs


## An Efficiency Wage story

- Networks could narrow perceived job choices for less educated workers
- If networks limit successful job matching, excluding some job opportunities, could lengthen job search for less educated workers with weaker networks or when workers must search for jobs outside their network, and thus increase their unemployment rates
- Both would lead to lower wages from an efficiency wage perspective
- Women and blacks employed in one minimum wage study appear to have had lower wage premiums than men and benefited most from wage compression through raising the minimum wage: Spriggs 1994


## A Monopsony-like story

- Job networks can provide employers with a low cost search method for workers
- However, it can also create the perception that hiring outside the network has tremendous costs
- Since employers are not observing a perfectly elastic labor supply curve at the market clearing wage from their perspective, they may behave like monopsonists and hire fewer workers than would be hired in a perfectly competitive labor market.


## Our Data

- Comes from 1\% Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS) of the decennial Census for 1990 and 2000.
- Unit of analysis is local labor market, defined as the MSA.
- Data are merged with City specific characteristics on housing, including residential segregation


## Data summary for 1990

|  | Obs | Mean | Std. Dev. | Min | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean of Log Wages for Black High School Graduates | 251 | 2.035 | 0.409 | 0.154 | 3.297 |
| Mean of Log Wages for Black High School Drop Outs | 250 | 1.858 | 0.414 | 0.511 | 4.096 |
| Mean of Log Wages for Latino High School Graduates | 230 | 1.816 | 0.448 | -0.266 | 3.912 |
| Mean of Log Wages for Latino High School Drop Outs | 236 | 2.038 | 0.378 | 0.511 | 3.893 |
| D Index for Black/Non-Black in Minimum Wage Contour Jobs | 275 | 0.593 | 0.233 | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| D Index for Latino/Non-Latino in Minimum Wage Contour Jobs | 275 | 0.627 | 0.284 | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| Residential Segregation of Blacks | 273 | 0.558 | 0.137 | 0.227 | 0.874 |
| Percent of MSA that is Black | 272 | 0.105 | 0.098 | 0.000 | 0.456 |
| Percent of MSA that is Latino | 272 | 0.073 | 0.136 | 0.000 | 0.944 |
| Log of Black Unemployment Rate | 244 | -2.116 | 0.555 | -4.546 | 0.000 |
| Log of Latino Unemployment Rate | 194 | -2.365 | 0.671 | -4.883 | -0.619 |
| Share of Black Population that is High School Graduate | 274 | 0.202 | 0.066 | 0.000 | 0.500 |
| Share of Latino Population that is High School Graduate | 273 | 0.168 | 0.129 | 0.000 | 1.000 |

## Data summary for 2000

|  | Obs | Mean | Std. Dev. | Min | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean of Log Wages for Black High School Graduates | 99 | 2.292 | 0.159 | 1.143 | 2.646 |
| Mean of Log Wages for Black High School Drop Outs | 98 | 2.107 | 0.143 | 1.449 | 2.446 |
| Mean of Log Wages for Latino High School Graduates | 100 | 2.135 | 0.171 | 1.499 | 2.569 |
| Mean of Log Wages for Latino High School Drop Outs | 100 | 2.250 | 0.139 | 1.904 | 2.630 |
| D Index for Black/Non-Black in Minimum Wage Contour Jobs | 100 | 0.371 | 0.139 | 0.000 | 0.969 |
| D Index for Latino/Non-Latino in Minimum Wage Contour Jobs | 100 | 0.436 | 0.163 | 0.163 | 0.804 |
| Residential Segregation of Blacks | 273 | 0.518 | 0.134 | 0.198 | 0.846 |
| Percent of MSA that is Black | 97 | 0.143 | 0.112 | 0.002 | 0.618 |
| Percent of MSA that is Latino | 97 | 0.131 | 0.163 | 0.007 | 0.874 |
| Log of Black Unemployment Rate | 96 | -2.271 | 0.316 | -3.239 | -1.618 |
| Log of Latino Unemployment Rate | 94 | -2.561 | 0.453 | -3.702 | -1.610 |
| Share of Black Population that is High School Graduate | 100 | 0.211 | 0.035 | 0.083 | 0.324 |
| Share of Latino Population that is High School Graduate | 100 | 0.250 | 0.065 | 0.105 | 0.564 |






## Our model

- Our model uses the efficiency wage model, with the "wage curve" of race specific unemployment rates and
- Allowing for industrial mix effects that would allow for substitution effects of less educated workers across industries within a local labor market
- And accounts for spatial mismatch, and other isolation mechanisms, that would flow from residential segregation
- And, an own group supply measure to capture both supply effects and potential network size effects.


## Our Model

- Our estimation equation

$$
y_{i t}{ }^{8} \beta x_{i t}
$$

- Where i represents the MSA and t is for 1990 and 2000.
- Y is the mean of the log of wages for workers with high school diplomas or less education.
- X is a vector of variables, including measures of the $\log$ of the race/ethnic specific unemployment rate, the D index for the race/ethnic specific group among minimum wage contour jobs, the share of workers in the race/ethnic group who have less than a high school education, major industry groups (manufacturing, public sector, services and retail)


## Our Results Black High School Drop outs

|  | Coeff. | Std. Err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D Index of Minimum Wage Contour Jobs | -0.753 | 0.264 |
| Log of Black unemployment rate | -0.169 | 0.058 |
| Residential Segregation | 0.006 | 0.7506 |
| Share of Black Population with LTHS | 1.48 | 0.454 |
|  | Coeff. | Std. Err |
| D Index of Minimum Wage Contour Jobs | -0.767 | 0.266 |
| Log of Black unemployment rate | 0.170 | 0.058 |
| Residential Segregation | 0.080 | 0.731 |
| Share of Black Population with LTHS | 1.480 | 0.455 |
| Share of Population that is Foreign Born | 0.527 | 0.790 |

## Latino High School Drop Outs
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## Black High School Grads

|  | Coeff. | Std. Err |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| D Index of Minimum Wage Contour Jobs | 0.512 | 0.324 |
| Log of Black unemployment rate | -0.018 | 0.069 |
| Residential Segregation | -0.139 | 0.952 |
| Share of Black Population High School Grads | -0.914 | 0.967 |
|  |  | Coeff. |
| D Index of Minimum Wage Contour Jobs | 0.478 | 0.320 |
| Log of Black unemployment rate | -0.024 | 0.068 |
| Residential Segregation | 0.189 | 0.953 |
| Share of Black Population High School Grads | -0.690 | 0.960 |
| Share of Population that is Foreign Born | 1.810 | 0.951 |

## Latino High School Grads

|  | Coeff. | Std. Err |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| D Index of Minimum Wage Contour Jobs | -0.700 | 0.409 |
| Log of Latino unemployment rate | -0.095 | 0.066 |
| Residential Segregation | -0.347 | 0.661 |
| Share of Latino Population with LTHS | -0.843 | 0.393 |
|  |  | Coeff. |
| D Index of Minimum Wage Contour Jobs | -0.914 | 0.432 |
| Log of Latino unemployment rate | -0.100 | 0.066 |
| Residential Segregation | -0.929 | 0.771 |
| Share of Latino Population with LTHS | -0.816 | 0.391 |
| Share of Population that is Foreign Born | 3.130 | 2.170 |

## Summary of key results

- For Black and Latino High School Drop outs evidence is consistent with an efficiency wage story that:
- Job segregation narrows job options
- And, higher unemployment rates
- Lead to lower wages
- The share of MSA population that is foreign born has positive but not significant impacts on wages.
- For Latino High School Graduates:
- Controlling for the presence of foreign born, job segregation lowers wages
- Job segregation appears to matter more to High School Drop Outs than High School Graduates

