

# Rediscovering Profitability and Value in the Auto Sector

Frits K. Pil

*Discussion by  
Chad Syverson  
Univ. of Chicago*

*State of Automotive Industry Conference  
Chicago Fed  
June 11, 2007*

## *Biggest Problem with Push Strategies: Overproduction*

Overproduction imposes short- and long-run profit losses:

- Creates additional inventory costs
  - Dealers angry too
- Increases use of incentives (i.e., lowers vehicle price)
- Encourages dumping into rental fleets
  - Lowers future sales prices by flooding market with used vehicles
  - Presents “plain face” to consumers

Very efficient BTO operation would avoid overproduction problems

- But implementing is very costly for a complex production chain

## *Better Forecasts Would Help—Even without BTO*

Many problems could seemingly be solved if forecasts were more accurate, even if no shift to BTO is made

How? Aren't firms already making best possible forecasts?

### Internal markets

- Let interested parties trade bets on success of proposed models
  - E.g., mktg., production, upper mgt., dealers, suppliers, etc.
- Excellent way to aggregate diffuse information
- Pilot at large “big-box” retailer shows promise for predicting firm financials, sales of particular products, etc.
- Can these be run without giving too much proprietary info away?

## *Moving Toward BTO—Too Many Dealers?*

Dealers provide useful services, but can be a drag on pure BTO efficiency

- If you're going to order a car, why not go straight to the source?
- Internet and e-commerce infrastructure facilitate direct orders to producers
  - Ex: purchases of travel services often bypass travel agents

Franchise laws protect low-quality dealers

Despite this protection, there is evidence that e-commerce has already started forcing some dealers out

## *Moving Toward BTO—Too Many Dealers? (cont.)*

---

Dealer counts by employment size category					
Year	10-19	20-49	50-99	100-249	250+
1994	4,142	9,017	4,853	1,601	78
1999	3,611	8,616	5,437	2,083	135
2003	3,394	8,237	5,768	2,532	231
Change	-748	-780	+915	+931	+153
1994-2003	(-18%)	(-9%)	(+19%)	(+58%)	(+196%)

---

Decline in smaller dealerships and growth in larger ones is tied to local growth in consumer e-commerce use

- Less effective intermediaries are leaving market
- Internet search and larger dealers might also partially solve customization problem (larger real and virtual inventories)

## *Moving Toward BTO—How Will Consumers' Tastes for Variety Change?*

Maybe BTO doesn't require as much customization as we might think

- Ex: For many vehicles, 0.1% of model variants account for 50% of sales

But this is an “equilibrium outcome”

Consumers don't consume many varieties because doing so is costly

- Instead willing to fit square peg in round hole

But if BTO becomes the modus operandi, variety of orders could increase substantially

## *Moving Toward BTO—Is Labor Flexible Enough?*

Purely from production perspective, automakers have little incentive to idle plants

In this industry, labor is like capital—pay for it whether used or not

- Capacity utilization decision is too discrete: basically on/off at shift level

Considerable effort and time might be required to change contractual arrangements to build in flexibility

- Vertical disintegration as a path to capacity flexibility throughout production chain?

