The Great Leveraging

Five Facts and Five Lessons for Policymakers

Alan M. Taylor University of Virginia, NBER & CEPR

International Banking Conference Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago and CEPR 15–16 November 2012

Fact 1. Crises: Almost forgotten: now they're back

- A long standing problem
 - For DM and EM
- Exception: 1940 to 1970 period unusually quiescent. Why?
 - Internal or external constraints?



Fact 2. Consequences: forgot depressing/deflationary impacts

- Evidence-based macroeconomics
 - Event study
 - 14 "advanced" countries
 - 140 years of data
- Recessions are painful
 - Those with financial crises are more painful
 - Those with global financial crises are worse still
- Prewar versus Postwar



Fact 3. Extreme leverage: historically unprecedented

Then N - Age of Money Now - Age of Credit 1.5 How? - More leverage ratio – Wholesale funding Why? Ω. Private actions (recovery from GD/WW2) Government 0 1870 1880 1890 1900 1910 1920 1930 1940 1950 1960 1970 1980 1990 2000 2010 policies Bank Loans/GDP Bank Assets/GDP * Broad Money/GDP (financial liberalizations)

Fact 3. Extreme leverage: banks versus sovereigns

- Advanced countries
- Public debt crisis/ excess?
 - There is a post
 2008 blip
- Private credit crisis/ excess?
 - Larger and trending up since 1990
- Reversal of ratios striking after 1960
 - "Safe assets"?



Fact 4. Global asymmetry: EMs buy insurance, DMs sell it

- Post-1990s EMs switch to safer, countercyclical polices and larger buffers
- "Great Reserve Accumulation"
 - Unique phase in history?
 - Gold standard
- Net capital flows
 - Private inflows
 - Official outflows
- No "Lucas paradox"?



Fact 5. Savings glut: short run panic v. long run demography

- Short term reasons to think the era of cheap capital is over
 - Investment rebound in EM and DM after panic?
 - Not quite yet!
 - EM reserve "step change" completed?
 - DM delevering slow?
- Longer term reasons?
 - Demography
- Offsetting/postponing factor
 - Recurrent and ongoing flights to safety in panic
- Real rate = 0% in Jun 2012!



Summing up the facts

- An Inconvenient Truth
 - Crises just a fact of life in modern finance capitalism?
 - Exception was 1950–70 with financial repression, regulation, controls.
 - Period of low credit creation. But it was also still a period of high growth.
- Plus A Series of Unfortunate Events
 - Cheap capital in asymmetric world. Credit boom.
 - Good = productive projects. Bad = risk of boom-bust cycle.
- Sequel
 - Hunger for safe assets, demographic shifts slow (but coming).
 - Low real rates for now= deflationary shock continues, and credible sovereigns can be funded.
- Next
 - What lessons for policy in this kind of financial landscape
 - Macro policy / Financial policy

<u>Lesson 1</u>: Past private credit growth does contain valuable predictive information about likelihood of a crisis

- Schularick and Taylor 2012 AER "Credit Booms Gone Bust"
- Use lagged credit growth T-5,...,T-1
- Forecast of a financial crisis {0,1} in year T
- Ex ante credit boom makes a financial crisis more likely
 - Beats null (cointoss)
 - Beats narrow or broad money
 - Robust to other controls including macro, interest rates, and stock prices



Lesson 2: External imbalances/public debts are a distraction

- Jordà, Schularick, Taylor 2011 IMF Economic Review "Financial Crises, Credit Booms, and External Imbalances: 140 Years of Lessons"
- Couldn't it all be down to external imbalances rather than internal?
 - Add current account (%GDP) to the forecast system and run a horse race.
 - As a policymaker, which signal has more valuable information about incipient financial fragility?
- Not CA/GDP
- Same result holds for public debt/GDP



<u>Lesson 3</u>: After a credit boom, expect a more painful recession, normal or financial-crisis

- Credit boom before v lost output afterwards
- Jordà, Schularick, Taylor 2012 "When credit bites back"
- Larger credit boom ex ante correlates with deeper recessions in each case
 - In addition to the larger credit boom making more painful financial crisis case more likely to occur



<u>Lesson 4</u>: In a financial crisis with large run-up in private sector credit, mark down growth/inflation *more*

- Credit boom before v other outcomes
- Jordà, Schularick, Taylor 2012 "When credit bites back"
- Larger credit boom ex ante correlates with deeper recessions in each case
 - In addition to the larger credit boom making more painful financial crisis case more likely to occur
 - Also depressing for investment and inflation outcomes



<u>Lesson 5</u>: In a financial crisis with large public debt, and large run-up in private sector credit mark down growth/ inflation *even more*

JST, work in progress

- Zero reference = "no treatment"
- Blue = normal recession after +1% extra credit/ GDP ppy "treatment"
- Red = financial recession after +1% extra credit/ GDP ppy "treatment"
- Lt gray = Blue line path as public debt/GDP vary from 0% to 100%
- Dk gray = Red line path public debt/GDP vary from 0% to 100%



Summing up the lessons

- Pre-crisis prevention
 - Central bank complacency, with two obvious and key failures
 - Inflation targeting not enough, unable to avert credit boom/bust crisis
 - Didn't we know this already from history of the gold standard, etc.?
 - Not having well thought out banking supervision/resolution, LOLR regime

 Ditto
 - Both failures present with a vengeance in the Eurozone with amplification factors
- Post-crisis response
 - What will the path look like?
 - Worse than people thought/think
 - Massive deflationary shock; CBs beware of premature tightening
 - ECB rate rise in 2011?
 - Fed/BoE/BoJ responses more accomodative, but large headwinds also

The Great Leveraging

Five Facts and Five Lessons for Policymakers

Alan M. Taylor University of Virginia, NBER & CEPR

International Banking Conference Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago and CEPR 15–16 November 2012