

Macroprudential Regulation: Discussion Charles M. Kahn

50th Annual Conference on Bank Structure and Competition Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago

Alvarez and Barlevy

- Extension of balance-sheet contagion model of Acemoglu, Ozdaglar, and Tahbaz-Salehi to include
 - Moral Hazard
 - Information Disclosure

AB: The Model

 Begin with banks with debt contracts structured in a ring, so that a failure in one bank can bring down a sequence of creditor banks. AB: The Model

- Allow banks to gain additional (debt) financing for valuable projects, but subject to the possibility of diversion of funds.
- Presence of sufficient equity value deters diversion; thus banks with insufficient equity cannot borrow.

AB: The Model

 Thus ring structure can lead to a social cost, as banks which fall due to contagion lack needed equity to back investment



AB: Disclosure

- Suppose banks have information about own initial shock, but, initially no information about the shocks of counterparties (and therefore no information about ultimate solvency)
- Is it socially valuable/incentive compatible to disclose this information?

• AB: Disclosure

- Timing:
- Information gained
- Disclosure decision announced
- Funds raised
- Disclosure made

AB: Non disclosure equilibrium

- For example, parameter values such that:
 - Without disclosure, required return to investors destroys incentives of banks.
 - But individual disclosure inadequate to provide sufficient assurance to investors, because of equity dependence on counterparty's solvency.
- Mandatory disclosure valuable for positive externality to creditors

Ono, Uchida, Udell, and Uesugi

- The relation between loan-to-value ratio and loan risk.
- On the macroprudential level this is coming full circle (Reg T: margin requirements)

OUUU: Background

- Much recent focus on study of LTV caps has been on loans for housing
- This paper: Japanese business loans
- Advantage: separation of "pricing channel" from "risk channel."

OUUU: Results

• Univariate:

- Loans rise pre bubble period, rise dramatically during the bubble period; crash and then revive in the lead up to the financial crisis.
- Land values follow the same pattern, but with no revival.
- Thus, post bubble, steady rise in LTV. But the big decline (50%) occurs in the period before the bubble.
 During the bubble only 20% decline.

OUUU: Results

- Multivariate: Do low LTV firms have better subsequent performance?
- Answer: some indication of better performance by <u>high</u> LTV firms 1990-4 (just at end of bubble period) but not otherwise.

• OUUU: Reaction

- Questions LTV as policy variable
- But also raises question of heterogeneity of sample.

Bonaldi, Hortaçsu, Kastl

- Breathtakingly ambitious: theory, econometric technique, policy relevance
- Set of qualified reviewers = {}

Bids in each ECB Refinancing Operations



- Estimates of individual banks' willingness-to-pay for repo loans at that instant
 - Assume homogeneity of behavior within Tier 1 quartiles.
 - Strategic behavior determines banks' bids (step function)

- Willingness to pay varies over time, correlated among banks,
- Willingness to pay compared with EURIBOR indicates a bank's individual financial condition

Individual banks' willingness-to-pay at each auction



- Spillovers between bank willingness-to-pay
 - Controlling for common factors (most importantly, EONIA) attribute spillovers to debt contracts between banks or fire-sale spillovers due to commonality of holdings
 - Econometric technique preferentially pushes many coefficients to zero (forcing a sparse network of spillovers) and forcing correlated willingness-to-pay in or out together

Spillovers between banks' willingness-to-pay



- Estimates of centrality of banks in directed network: "systemicness" (influence of bank on others) and "vulnerability" (dependence of bank on others)
 - Measures weight direct and indirect effects, naturally interpreted as a long-run spillover

BHK: Results

- Can build networks and look at inter- and intra-national implications
- A subset of banks clearly affect other banks
 - not clear what the indirect effects add—the claims that this is important are opaque.
- Vulnerability predicts bailouts



BUSINESS at ILLINOIS