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## Main Points

- Participation is trending down for two reasons
- Demographics - we're getting older
- Long-running behavioral trends - participation for most narrow demographic groups has been dropping steadily over time

■ Nevertheless, 2012 participation is below its long-term trend by 1.2 percentage points

- Even accounting for the high unemployment rate it is 0.67 percentage points below trend
- Groups especially far below trend
- The young
- Those with low education
- Older workers are bucking the trend


## Participation By Age and Sex



## Labor Force Participation, By Age/Gender



## Labor Force Participation, By Age/Gender



## Labor Force Participation, By Age/Gender

Men, 25-54
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## Labor Force Participation, By Age/Gender



## Labor Force Participation, By Age/Gender



## Labor Force Participation, By Age/Gender



## 2012 Male Participation Rates By Education



## 2012 Female Participation Rates By Education

## Women



## Forecasting Demographic Group Behavior

- Question: In 2007, how to forecast participation rates of 50-54 year old women in 2015?
- BLS Method: Extrapolate the historical time series for participation of 50-54 year old women using last 13 years (mixing cohorts)

■ Cohort Method:

- Note that women who will be 50-54 in 2015 were born 1961-65
- Compare the LFP of the 1961-65 birth cohorts to those of earlier cohorts at the same age
- Assume cohort differences will persist at higher ages


## Select Model Fit LFP Profile Projections

White Female HS Graduate, 25-54


## Select Model Fit LFP Profiles Through 2007

White Female HS Graduate, 25-54


## Cohort-Based Projections

- Above projections based on extensions of Aaronson and Sullivan, Chicago Fed Economic Perspectives, 2001
- Somewhat similar results to Aaronson, Fallick, Figura, Pingle, and Wascher, Brookings, 2006
- Methodological differences
- Estimates at individual level (Models estimated using CPS data 1987-2007)
- Everything conditional on educational levels
- Many details


## A Basic Logistic Cohort Model

$p_{\text {sbai }} \quad$ Prob individual iof sex $\mathbf{s}$ born in year $\mathbf{b}$ is in LF at age a
$\log \left(\frac{p_{s b a i}}{1-p_{s b a i}}\right)=\beta_{s b}+\alpha_{s a}+x_{s b a i} \gamma_{s}+z_{s b a} \delta_{s}$
$\beta_{s b} \quad$ Birth year cohort dummies
$\alpha_{s a} \quad$ Age dummies
$x_{\text {sbai }} \quad$ Race group dummies
$Z_{s b a} \quad$ Age-specific controls

Estimated by age groups: 16-19, 20-24, 25-54, 55-70, 71-79.

## Age-Specific Controls

- Ages 16-24
- Real Minimum Wage
- Hourly Wage Ratio of 16-19 year olds to 25-54 year olds
- Ages 25-54
- Fraction of population married with a Child 5 Years or Younger
- Fraction of population married with no Child 5 Years or Younger

■ Ages 55 and higher

- Gender specific life expectancies


## Extension: Condition on Education

$p_{\text {sebai }} \quad$ Prob individual $\mathbf{i}$ of sex $\mathbf{s}$ and education $\mathbf{e}$ born in year $\mathbf{b}$ is in LF at age a

5 education categories: <HS, =HS, Some College, College, > College

$$
\log \left(\frac{p_{\text {sebai }}}{1-p_{\text {sebai }}}\right)=\beta_{\text {seb }}+\alpha_{\text {sea }}+x_{\text {sebai }} \gamma_{\text {se }}+z_{\text {seba }} \delta_{\text {se }}
$$

## Extension: Condition on Education

To forecast LFP, need educational attainment forecasts
$q_{s b a i}^{e}$
Prob individual $i$ of sex $s$ born in year $b$ has attainment of at least $e$ at age a given attainment of at least $e-1$

$$
\log \left(\frac{q_{s b a i}^{e}}{1-q_{s b a i}^{e}}\right)=\beta_{s b}^{e}+\alpha_{s a}^{e}+x_{s b a i} \gamma_{s}^{e}+z_{s b a} \gamma_{s}^{e}
$$

## Extension: Allow for Business Cycle Effects

$p_{\text {sebai }} \quad$ Prob individual $i$ of sex $s$ and education $\mathbf{e}$ born in year $\mathbf{b}$ is in LF at age a
$\log \left(\frac{p_{\text {sebai }}}{1-p_{\text {sebai }}}\right)$
$=\beta_{\text {seb }}+\alpha_{\text {sea }}+w_{\text {sea }} \lambda_{\text {se }}+x_{\text {sebai }} \gamma_{\text {se }}+z_{\text {seba }} \delta_{\text {se }}$
$w_{\text {sea }} \quad$ Annual unemployment gap (actual - CBO NAIRU)

## A Decomposition

Let $\quad p_{t}=$ Overall trend LFP at time $t$
$\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{dt}}=$ Trend LFP for demographic group d at time $\mathbf{t}$
$f_{d t}=$ Share of population in group $d$ at time $t$
Then
$p_{t}=\sum_{d} f_{d t} p_{d t}$
And

$$
\Delta p_{t}=\underbrace{\sum_{d}\left(p_{d t-1}-p_{t-1}\right) \Delta f_{d t}}_{\text {Demographics }}+\underbrace{\sum_{d} f_{d t} \Delta p_{d t}}_{\text {Behavior }}
$$

## Decomposition of LFP Change

(Percentage points per year)

1987-1997 1997-2005 2005-2010 2010-2013

| Total Change | 0.14 | -0.02 | -0.16 | -0.19 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Demographic | 0.05 | -0.06 | -0.08 | -0.10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Behavioral | 0.09 | 0.04 | -0.07 | -0.09 |

## Decomposition of Demographic Contribution

(Percentage points per year)

|  | $1987-1997$ | $1997-2005$ | $2005-2010$ | $2010-2013$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 0.05 | -0.06 | -0.08 | -0.10 |
| Age 16-19 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| Age 20-24 | -0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Age 25-54 | 0.05 | -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.05 |
| Age 55-70 | 0.04 | -0.06 | -0.07 | -0.05 |
| Age 71-79 | -0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | -0.03 |

## Labor Force Participation Rate, Trend vs. Actual



## Labor Force Participation Rate, Trend vs. Actual



88\%
$\begin{array}{llllll}1987 & 1992 & 1997 & 2002 & 2007 & 2012\end{array}$
Female, 25-54


## Labor Force Participation Rate, Trend vs. Actual

Male, 55-70
$65 \%$
$50 \%$
$\begin{array}{llllll}1987 & 1992 & 1997 & 2002 & 2007 & 2012\end{array}$

Female, 55-70


## Labor Force Participation Rate, Trend vs. Actual

Male, >70
$25 \%$

CPS Data
LF Trend

$5 \%$

## $0 \%$ <br> $\begin{array}{llllll}1987 & 1992 & 1997 & 2002 & 2007 & 2012\end{array}$

Female, >70
$20 \%$

$0 \%$
$\begin{array}{llllll}1987 & 1992 & 1997 & 2002 & 2007 & 2012\end{array}$

## Demographically-Adjusted LFP



## Decomposition of Behavioral Contribution

(Percentage points per year)

|  | $1987-1997$ | $1997-2005$ | $2005-2010$ | $2010-2013$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 0.09 | 0.04 | -0.07 | -0.09 |
| Men | -0.05 | -0.04 | -0.08 | -0.07 |
| Age 16-19 | -0.01 | -0.03 | -0.04 | -0.03 |
| Age 20-24 | -0.01 | -0.02 | -0.01 | -0.01 |
| Age 25-54 | -0.04 | -0.05 | -0.05 | -0.03 |
| Age 55-70 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | -0.01 |
| Age 71-79 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |

## Decomposition of Behavioral Contribution

(Percentage points per year)

|  | $1987-1997$ | $1997-2005$ | $2005-2010$ | $2010-2013$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 0.09 | 0.04 | -0.07 | -0.09 |
| Women | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.01 | -0.02 |
| Age 16-19 | 0.00 | -0.03 | -0.02 | -0.03 |
| Age 20-24 | 0.00 | -0.01 | -0.02 | -0.01 |
| Age 25-54 | 0.08 | 0.01 | -0.02 | -0.03 |
| Age 55-70 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| Age 71-79 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 |

## LFP By Education



## LFP By Education



## Post-College

$86 \% \longrightarrow$

$\begin{array}{lllllll}76 \% & & & \\ & 1987 & 1992 & 1997 & 2002 & 2007 & 2012\end{array}$

## LFP Gap By Education



## Contribution to LFP Gap By Education

(LFP Gap * Population Share)


## Possible Interpretation of Low Education Results

- Housing boom may have temporarily stopped the slide of real wages for low education workers ...
- Possible interpretation of Charles, Hurst, and Notowidigdo (2012)
- Temporarily holding up LFP
- And our trend estimates
- After housing collapse, wages and LFP declined

■ Another story: Downward nominal wage rigidity bites harder for low education workers

- Productivity gains take longer to bring realignment
- Probably more a story for unemployment


## LFP Gap By Age

(Actual LF - Predicted LF)


## Contribution to LFP Gap By Age



## Possible Interpretation of Age Results

- Young workers most affected by down turn
- Consistent with past research that entrants face disproportionate difficulties in poor labor markets
- Young workers may also be returning to school
- Understandable given low opportunity costs
- Older workers may be working more to compensate for negative shock to wealth


## Contribution to 2012 LFP Gap, by sex/age/education

| Group | 2012 LFP Gap | Contribution to Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Gap: | $-1.14 \%$ |  |
| Female, 25-54, HS Grads | $-2.14 \%$ | $-0.14 \%$ |
| Male, 25-54, HS dropouts | $-3.03 \%$ | $-0.10 \%$ |
| Female, 25-54, Some college | $-1.03 \%$ | $-0.08 \%$ |
| Female, 25-54, HS dropouts | $-2.74 \%$ | $-0.07 \%$ |
| Male, 20-24, HS graduates | $-4.07 \%$ | $-0.06 \%$ |
| Female, 71-79, HS Grads | $-2.34 \%$ | $-0.06 \%$ |
| Male, 25-54, HS Grads | $-0.71 \%$ | $-0.06 \%$ |
| Male, 25-54, Some College | $-0.81 \%$ | $-0.05 \%$ |
| Female, 20-24, Some College | $-1.88 \%$ | $-0.04 \%$ |
| Female, 16-19, Some college | $-8.67 \%$ | $-0.04 \%$ |
| Male, 16-19, HS dropouts | $-1.59 \%$ | $-0.04 \%$ |
| Residual: | $-0.40 \%$ |  |
| (Difference of above gaps to total) |  |  |

## Caveats on LFP Modeling

- Modeling of business cycle could be improved
- E.g., some evidence that LFP responds to unemployment with very long lags
- Could incorporate more effects of policy changes
- E.g., on SS, taxes, tuition, etc.
- More generally, need better economics
- Labor supply responds to wages and other general equilibrium factors


## Unemployment Rate



## Payroll Employment



## Payroll Employment

## Payroll Employment Gap

(thousands of jobs)
150000


## Payroll Employment

Trend Payroll Employment Growth
(jobs/month)
250



Extra Slides
-- May eventually be deleted

## Participation By Age and Sex

2012 Labor Force Participation Rates, by Age (percent)


Change in Population Share, by Age (percentage points)


## Participation By Age and Sex



## Change in Population Share, By Age



## Age-Specific Control Variables

Teen and 20-24 models
Real Minimum Wage (Demeaned)


## Age-Specific Control Variables

Teen and 20-24 models
Hourly Wage Ratio of 16-19 year olds to 25-54 year olds (Demeaned)


## Age-Specific Control Variables

Prime age models
Married with a Child 5 Years or Younger
(percent of 25-54 year olds)
$12 \%$


## Age-Specific Control Variables

Prime age models
Married with no Child 5 Years or Younger
(percent of 25-54 year olds)
$28 \%$ $\qquad$


## Age-Specific Control Variables <br> Older age models

Life Expectancies by Sex
(expected years lived past 50)
34
Men
Women


## LF Participation Rate, with Business Cycles

## Ages 16+





## Demographically-Adj. LFP, w/Business Cycle Effect



## LFP Gap By Education, with Business Cycle Effect

(Actual LF - Predicted LF)


## Contribution to LFP Gap By Education



## LFP Gap By Age, with Business Cycle Effect



## Contribution to LFP Gap By Age

(LFP Gap * Population Share)


## Labor Force Participation Rate, Trend vs. Actual

Estimated with data through 2012, Ages 16-79


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $66 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

