Trends In Labor Force Participation

June 2013

Daniel Sullivan Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago



Main Points

Participation is trending down for two reasons

- Demographics we're getting older
- Long-running behavioral trends participation for most narrow demographic groups has been dropping steadily over time
- Nevertheless, 2012 participation is below its long-term trend by 1.2 percentage points
 - Even accounting for the high unemployment rate it is 0.67 percentage points below trend

Groups especially far below trend

- The young
- Those with low education
- Older workers are bucking the trend

Participation By Age and Sex

2012 Labor Force Participation Rates and Change in Population Share, by Age (percent)







6









2012 Male Participation Rates By Education



2012 Female Participation Rates By Education



Forecasting Demographic Group Behavior

- Question: In 2007, how to forecast participation rates of 50-54 year old women in 2015?
- BLS Method: Extrapolate the historical time series for participation of 50-54 year old women using last 13 years (mixing cohorts)

Cohort Method:

- Note that women who will be 50-54 in 2015 were born 1961-65
- Compare the LFP of the 1961-65 birth cohorts to those of earlier cohorts at the same age
- Assume cohort differences will persist at higher ages

Select Model Fit LFP Profile Projections





Select Model Fit LFP Profiles Through 2007





Cohort-Based Projections

- Above projections based on extensions of Aaronson and Sullivan, Chicago Fed Economic Perspectives, 2001
- Somewhat similar results to Aaronson, Fallick, Figura, Pingle, and Wascher, Brookings, 2006

Methodological differences

- Estimates at individual level (Models estimated using CPS data 1987-2007)
- Everything conditional on educational levels
- Many details

A Basic Logistic Cohort Model

*p*_{sbai} **Prob individual i of sex s born in year b is in LF at age a**

$$\log\left(\frac{p_{sbai}}{1-p_{sbai}}\right) = \beta_{sb} + \alpha_{sa} + x_{sbai}\gamma_s + z_{sba}\delta_s$$

- β_{sb} Birth year cohort dummies
- α_{sa} Age dummies
- *x*_{sbai} Race group dummies
- *Z_{sba}* Age-specific controls

Estimated by age groups: 16-19, 20-24, 25-54, 55-70, 71-79.

Age-Specific Controls

- Ages 16-24
 - Real Minimum Wage
 - Hourly Wage Ratio of 16-19 year olds to 25-54 year olds

Ages 25-54

- Fraction of population married with a Child 5 Years or Younger
- Fraction of population married with no Child 5 Years or Younger

Ages 55 and higher

- Gender specific life expectancies

Extension: Condition on Education

psebaiProb individual i of sex s and education e born in year b is in
LF at age a

5 education categories: <HS, =HS, Some College, College, > College

$$\log\left(\frac{p_{sebai}}{1-p_{sebai}}\right) = \beta_{seb} + \alpha_{sea} + x_{sebai}\gamma_{se} + z_{seba}\delta_{se}$$

Extension: Condition on Education

To forecast LFP, need educational attainment forecasts

*q^e*_{sbai} Prob individual i of sex s born in year b has attainment of at least e at age a given attainment of at least e - 1

$$\log\left(\frac{q_{sbai}^{e}}{1-q_{sbai}^{e}}\right) = \beta_{sb}^{e} + \alpha_{sa}^{e} + x_{sbai}\gamma_{s}^{e} + z_{sba}\gamma_{s}^{e}$$

Extension: Allow for Business Cycle Effects

 p_{sebai} Prob individual i of sex s and education e born in year b is in
LF at age a

$$log\left(\frac{p_{sebai}}{1 - p_{sebai}}\right) = \beta_{seb} + \alpha_{sea} + w_{sea}\lambda_{se} + x_{sebai}\gamma_{se} + z_{seba}\delta_{se}$$

W_{sea} Annual unemployment gap (actual – CBO NAIRU)

A Decomposition

Let $p_t = Overall trend LFP$ at time t

p_{dt} = **Trend LFP for demographic group d at time t**

f_{dt} = Share of population in group d at time t

Then

$$p_t = \sum_d f_{dt} p_{dt}$$

And

$$\Delta p_{t} = \underbrace{\sum_{d} (p_{d t-1} - p_{t-1}) \Delta f_{d t}}_{\text{Demographics}} + \underbrace{\sum_{d} f_{d t} \Delta p_{d t}}_{\text{Behavior}}$$

Decomposition of LFP Change

(Percentage points per year)

	1987-1997	1997-2005	2005-2010	2010-2013
Total Change	0.14	-0.02	-0.16	-0.19
Demographic	0.05	-0.06	-0.08	-0.10
Behavioral	0.09	0.04	-0.07	-0.09

Decomposition of Demographic Contribution

(Percentage points per year)

	1987-1997	1997-2005	2005-2010	2010-2013
Total	0.05	-0.06	-0.08	-0.10
Age 16-19	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.03
Age 20-24	-0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00
Age 25-54	0.05	-0.04	-0.04	-0.05
Age 55-70	0.04	-0.06	-0.07	-0.05
Age 71-79	-0.03	0.03	0.02	-0.03









Demographically-Adjusted LFP



Decomposition of Behavioral Contribution

(Percentage points per year)

	1987-1997	1997-2005	2005-2010	2010-2013
Total	0.09	0.04	-0.07	-0.09
Men	-0.05	-0.04	-0.08	-0.07
Age 16-19	-0.01	-0.03	-0.04	-0.03
Age 20-24	-0.01	-0.02	-0.01	-0.01
Age 25-54	-0.04	-0.05	-0.05	-0.03
Age 55-70	0.01	0.05	0.01	-0.01
Age 71-79	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01

Decomposition of Behavioral Contribution

(Percentage points per year)

	1987-1997	1997-2005	2005-2010	2010-2013
Total	0.09	0.04	-0.07	-0.09
Women	0.14	0.08	0.01	-0.02
Age 16-19	0.00	-0.03	-0.02	-0.03
Age 20-24	0.00	-0.01	-0.02	-0.01
Age 25-54	0.08	0.01	-0.02	-0.03
Age 55-70	0.05	0.09	0.04	0.03
Age 71-79	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02

LFP By Education



32

LFP By Education



LFP Gap By Education



Contribution to LFP Gap By Education



Possible Interpretation of Low Education Results

- Housing boom may have temporarily stopped the slide of real wages for low education workers ...
 - Possible interpretation of Charles, Hurst, and Notowidigdo (2012)
- Temporarily holding up LFP
 - And our trend estimates
- After housing collapse, wages and LFP declined
- Another story: Downward nominal wage rigidity bites harder for low education workers
 - Productivity gains take longer to bring realignment
 - Probably more a story for unemployment

LFP Gap By Age

(Actual LF – Predicted LF)

4% -3% 2% 1% 0% -1% -2% -3% **16-19** 20-24 25-54 -4% 55-70 >70 -5% -**1987 1992 1997** 2002 2007 2012

37

Contribution to LFP Gap By Age



Possible Interpretation of Age Results

- **Young workers most affected by down turn**
 - Consistent with past research that entrants face disproportionate difficulties in poor labor markets
- Young workers may also be returning to school
 - Understandable given low opportunity costs
- Older workers may be working more to compensate for negative shock to wealth

Contribution to 2012 LFP Gap, by sex/age/education

Group	2012 LFP Gap	Contribution to Total
Total Gap:	-1.14%	
Female, 25-54, HS Grads	-2.14%	-0.14%
Male, 25-54, HS dropouts	-3.03%	-0.10%
Female, 25-54, Some college	-1.03%	-0.08%
Female, 25-54, HS dropouts	-2.74%	-0.07%
Male, 20-24, HS graduates	-4.07%	-0.06%
Female, 71-79, HS Grads	-2.34%	-0.06%
Male, 25-54, HS Grads	-0.71%	-0.06%
Male, 25-54, Some College	-0.81%	-0.05%
Female, 20-24, Some College	-1.88%	-0.04%
Female, 16-19, Some college	-8.67%	-0.04%
Male, 16-19, HS dropouts	-1.59%	-0.04%
Residual:	-0.40%	
(Difference of above gaps to total)		

Caveats on LFP Modeling

- Modeling of business cycle could be improved
 - E.g., some evidence that LFP responds to unemployment with very long lags
- Could incorporate more effects of policy changes
 - E.g., on SS, taxes, tuition, etc.
- More generally, need better economics
 - Labor supply responds to wages and other general equilibrium factors

Unemployment Rate



Payroll Employment



Payroll Employment

Payroll Employment Gap



44

Payroll Employment

Trend Payroll Employment Growth

(jobs/month) 250 _____



Extra Slides -- May eventually be deleted

Participation By Age and Sex

2012 Labor Force Participation Rates, by Age (percent)



Participation By Age and Sex

2012 Labor Force Participation Rates

(percent)



Change in Population Share, By Age



Age-Specific Control Variables Teen and 20-24 models

Real Minimum Wage (Demeaned)



Age-Specific Control Variables Teen and 20-24 models

Hourly Wage Ratio of 16-19 year olds to 25-54 year olds (Demeaned)



Age-Specific Control Variables Prime age models

Married with a Child 5 Years or Younger

(percent of 25-54 year olds)

12%



Age-Specific Control Variables Prime age models

Married with no Child 5 Years or Younger

(percent of 25-54 year olds)

28% -



Age-Specific Control Variables Older age models

Life Expectancies by Sex



54

LF Participation Rate, with Business Cycles



Demographically-Adj. LFP, w/Business Cycle Effect



56

LFP Gap By Education, with Business Cycle Effect



Contribution to LFP Gap By Education



LFP Gap By Age, with Business Cycle Effect



59

Contribution to LFP Gap By Age

(LFP Gap * Population Share)





Estimated with data through 2012, Ages 16-79