# Location Incentives: The Cost of Attracting Auto Investment or "The New Normal"?

Maureen Appel Molot Carleton University Ottawa Canada

Presentation prepared for Auto Industry Conference of the Chicago Federal Reserve, Detroit November 3, 2003. Research for this presentation has been funded by AUTO 21

- Georgia lures Chrysler with \$320 million: Windsor was dropped from consideration last month (Ottawa Citizen 2002)
- Ford warns: subsidize or lose jobs (Globe and Mail 2002)
- Ottawa must match auto efforts: Eves (National Post 2003)
- Buying auto jobs by the thousands: Should government spend \$160,000 for a spot on the line? (National Post 2003)
- Navistar to keep Ontario plant open: Government help prompts reversal (Globe and Mail 2003)

- Experience has increased the capacity of auto MNEs to play the incentives game
- The number of jurisdictions bidding for an investment enhances the capacity of the MNE to play off one bidder against another to its own advantage
- Labor considerations the availability of untrained labor and an absence of a union environment – are important in auto MNEs location decisions

- The bidding game has generated a significant collective action problem in North America
- Market size and market affluence predispose MNEs concerned about meeting the NAFTA rules of origin to locate in the largest market
- Proximity to suppliers is a consideration in some auto MNE investment decisions

### **1980s US Locational Tournaments**

- First round value of investments \$3.41 billion, creating 18,700 jobs (announced), with an announced capacity of 1,460,000 vehicles annually.
- Total value of government subsidies between \$428.8 million and \$858.1 million.

#### 1980s US Locational Tournaments- Low

OEM	Incentive	Incentive	Incentive	Incentive
	(US Mil)	as % of	per job	per
		value		vehicle
Honda	\$24.30	10	\$12,135	\$202
Nissan	\$31.90	5.8	\$14,500	\$177
NUMMI	\$0	0	\$0	\$0
Mazda	\$104	24	\$29,740	\$416
Diamond				
Star	\$78.50	14.3	\$31,390	\$326
Toyota	\$111.50	20	\$55,760	\$557
Subaru-				
Izuzu	\$78.50	17.7	\$46,160	\$653
Honda	\$0	0	\$0	\$0

### 1980s US Locational Tournaments- High

Incentive	Incentive	Incentive	Incentive
(US Mil)	as % of	per job	per vehicle
\$26.70	value 11	\$13,350	\$223
\$42.90	7.8	\$19,500	\$238
\$0	0	\$0	\$0
\$111.50	25.8	\$31,860	\$446
\$251.80	46	\$100,730	\$1,050
\$346.70	62.2	\$173,300	\$1,735
\$78.50	17.7	\$46,150	\$655
\$0	0	\$0	\$0
	(US Mil) \$26.70 \$42.90 \$0 \$111.50 \$111.50 \$251.80 \$346.70 \$78.50	(US Mil)as % of\$26.70value11\$42.907.8\$07.8\$00\$111.5025.8\$251.8046\$346.7062.2\$78.5017.7	(US Mil)as % ofper job\$26.70value11\$13,350\$42.907.8\$19,500\$00\$0\$00\$0\$111.5025.8\$31,860\$251.8046\$100,730\$346.7062.2\$173,300\$78.5017.7\$46,150

# **1980s Canadian Locational Tournaments**

- Cdn tournaments generated investment of \$1.4 billion, with 4900 promised jobs and 430,000 in production capacity.
- Total incentive package ranged between \$243 and \$273.3 million.

#### 1980s Canadian Tournaments-Low

OEM	Incentive (US Mil)	Incentive as % of value	Incentive per job	Incentive per vehicle
Honda	\$14.00	5.5	\$20,000	\$175
Toyota	\$46.30	17	\$46,280	\$925
Hyundai	\$74.90	30.5	\$62,390	\$750
CAMI	\$107.80	17	\$53.88	\$540

#### 1980s Canadian Tournaments-High

OEM	Incentive (US Mil)	Incentive as % of value	Incentive per job	Incentive per vehicle
Honda	\$14.00	5.5	\$20,000	\$175
Toyota	\$55.10	20.3	\$55,130	\$1,100
Hyundai	\$74.90	30.5	\$62,390	\$750
CAMI	\$129.30	20.4	\$64,660	\$650

### **1990s US Locational Tournaments**

• Total value of 2nd wave transplant investment \$3.072 Billion, with 14,400 direct jobs announced and announced capacity of 1.138 million vehicles annually.

• Total value of announced incentives – between \$784.6 million and \$1.104 billion.

#### 1990s US Locational Tournament-Low

	Incentive	Incentive	Incentive	Incentive
OEM	(US Mil)	as % of	per job	per
		value		vehicle
BMW	\$103.40	33.7	\$51,675	\$1,325
Mercedes	\$175.10	84.3	\$116,725	\$2,920
Toyota	\$58.90	7.5	\$29,460	\$390
Honda	\$94.80	24.7	\$41,235	\$630
Nissan	\$209.70	25.5	\$45,590	\$525
Hyundai	\$142.70	25.3	\$71,370	\$475

#### 1990s US Locational Tournaments-High

	Incentive	Incentive	Incentive	Incentive
OEM	(US Mil)	as % of	per job	per
		value		vehicle
BMW	\$143.30	46.7	\$71,632	\$1,835
Mercedes	\$334.30	161	\$222,840	\$5,570
Toyota	\$58.90	7.5	\$29,460	\$390
Honda	\$94.80	24.7	\$41,235	\$630
Nissan	\$270.70	32.9	\$58,840	\$677
Hyundai	\$142.70	25.3	\$71,370	\$475

### Conclusions

- MNEs have learned to bargain
- Incentives \$1.456 to \$2.176 billion
- Value of transplant investment \$7.9 billion
- 38,200 direct announced jobs
- Announced capacity of 3.028 million

## **Conclusions (cont'd)**

- Proximity to suppliers
- Market size and tariffs
- Capacity expansion
- Labor issues

### **Points to Ponder**

- Incentives MNE view?
- Jurisdiction priorities
- Capacity to assess value of incentives
- Collective action problem
- Incentives and competition