What role, if any, can market discipline play in supporting macroprudential policy?

By Maria J. Nieto Banco de España

> Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago 13th Annual International Banking Conference

These views do not necessarily represent those of Banco de España

"Signaling Effect"

Macroprudential Policy Tools



Measures of Systemic Importance / Financial Distress

> *BS Indicators *Market prices

Systemic Risk

Macroprudential Policy

Conditions for effective market discipline:

- Reliable and timely information
- •Creditors must consider themselves at risk
- The reaction to market signals needs to be observable

Measuring tools of Systemic importance / Financial instability

Market Discipline

Outline

- Balance sheet indicators and market prices: inputs of macroprudential policy
- Preconditions for effective market discipline and its supporting role of macroprudential policy
- Policy conclusions and the way forward

BSI and MP as measurement tools of **systemic importance**

- Measures of systemic importance must capture the spillover or contagion effects from the institution whose systemic importance we want to measure
- Challenges/Limitations:
 - EMH
 - MP only available for institutions that tap the markets: No regulatory requirements (Evanoff and Wall, 2002). Relevance!!!

Policy makers' intervention affects market signals

BSI and MP as measurement tools of **systemic importance**

- Other Challenges/Limitations:
 - The time dependence character of systemic importance
 - The difficulty to separate the externalities that the failure of a large firm can cause on the financial system and the externalities associated with common exposure to a common shock

BSI and MP as measurement tools of **systemic importance**

Approaches:

BS Data

-A&L Valuation

-Gaps: Lack of info on interconnectedness

Indicator based approachNetwork approach

Substitutability

Mkt prices

- Frequent & publicly available info

Market information-based approaches

- Co-Risk measures: ∆Co VaR (Adrian and Brunnermeier, 2009)
- Portfolio approach

BSI and MP as measurement tools of financial instability

- "Quantitative tools" designed to identify episodes of financial distress in advance:
 - Market prices and balance sheet indicators
 - EWIs (include market prices and balance sheet indicators among other explanatory variables)
 - Others: Single model measures (VARs) and multiplemodule measures (macro stress test)

BSI and MP as measurement tools of financial instability

Market prices:

- Raw indicators (CDS and yield spreads)
- Estimates of credit risk from market prices (i.e. Credit Ratings)

List of EU banks with quoted CDS (September, 2010)

Allied Irish Banks PLC Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria SA Banco Comercial Portugues SA Banco Santander SA

Anglo Irish Bank Corp Ltd Governor & Co of the Bank of Ireland/The Lloyds TSB Bank PLC HSBC Bank PLC Standard Chartered PLC **BNP** Paribas Natixis Societe Generale **UniCredit SpA Banco Espirito Santo SA** Mediobanca SpA **Commerzbank AG Deutsche Bank AG** Dresdner Bank AG **UniCredit Bank AG NIBC Bank NV** Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken AB Svenska Handelsbanken AB Banca Nazionale del Lavoro SpA Alpha Bank AE Danske Bank A/S **IKB Deutsche Industriebank AG Erste Group Bank AG Raiffeisen Zentralbank Oesterreich** AG Intesa Sanpaolo SpA

DnB NOR Bank ASA Rabobank Nederland NV Barclays Bank PLC Caja de Ahorros y Monte de Piedad de Madrid BAWAG PSK Bank fuer Arbeit und Wirtschaft und Oesterreichische Postsparkasse

Landesbank Baden-Wuerttemberg Fortis Bank SA/NV Banca Monte dei Paschi di Siena SpA **Royal Bank Of Scotland NV Bayerische Landesbank FCE Bank PLC ING Bank NV** Caja de Ahorros de Valencia Castellon y Alicante **SNS Bank NV** Banco de Sabadell SA **Banca Italease SpA** Nordea Bank AB **Banco Popolare SC Standard Chartered Bank** Royal Bank of Scotland PLC/The **Dexia Credit Local** HBOS PLC **Credit Agricole SA** WestLB AG Unione di Banche Italiane SCPA

Market prices as measurement tools of financial instability

- Market prices as indicators of financial distress
 - Raw indicators (CDS and yield spreads) (IMF GFSR, 2007; Annaert, De Ceuster, Van Roy, Vespro, 2010): Limitations
 - Are marginal contributions of the determinants of <u>changes</u> in banks' CDS spreads (credit risk, liquidity, business cycle, mkt conditions e.g. risk aversion) stable overtime? If not, must be re estimated frequently in order to be an effective measuring tools
 - Do fundamental variables explain changes in CDS spreads in periods immediately before or during crisis?
 - Do regulators' intervention (e.g. bail out) result in paradigm changes in the determinants of CDS spreads? (Balasubramnian, Cyree, 2010)

Outline

- Balance sheet indicators and market prices: inputs of macroprudential policy
- Preconditions for effective market discipline and its supporting role of macroprudential policy
- Policy conclusions and the way forward

- Three conditions are necessary for market discipline to be effective:
 - Adequate and timely information on financial institutions' risk profiles
 - Financial institutions' creditors must consider themselves at risk and
 - Reaction to market signals needs to be observable

- Adequate and timely information on financial institutions ´ risk profile
 - The market assessment of risks relies on auditors and supervisors to enforce not only honest accounting but also accounting frameworks that allow for the prompt recognition of losses (Huizinga and Laeven, 2009)
 - Emphasizes the need for public communication of stress test

- Adequate and timely information on financial institutions 'risk profile (cont.)
 - Availability of sufficient and comparable information
 - Comparability demands convergence between accounting standard setters over global accounting rules
 - Financial information on "interconnectedness" and "substitutability"

- Financial institutions creditors must consider themselves at risk
 - PCA and credible resolution regime (Benston and Kaufman, 1998)
 - Prompt supervisory action limits the probability systemic spill over → preserving the information value of BS & market indicators
 - Absolute priority of claims needs to be protected so that creditors know *ex ante* the repayment priority (Hart, 2002) → PD and LGD
 - In spite of the existing credible resolution regimen (FDICIA) yield spreads signaled the return of implicit guarantees after the government's intervention in the LTCM (Balasubramnian and Cyree, 2010)

Hart & Zingales (2010) include haircut 20%

- Financial institutions' creditors must consider themselves at risk (Cont.)
 - Mechanisms to shift the gov't trade off between restructuring and bail out in favor of restructuring
 - The regulatory requirement of additional cushion of junior LT debt: extraprotection + financial instrument (market price)
 - Aimed at lim systemic risk (P, cost) rely on individual FI info → Do not address prob of all institutions having problems simultaneously
 - Effectiveness: rule based PS + Credible resolution regime (mkt and PS)

- Financial institutions creditors must consider themselves at risk (Cont.)
 - Regulatory contingent capital instruments
 (CoCos) → strengthen capitalization
 - Hart & Zingales (2010) "bail in" schemes that would impose losses onto LT unsecured debt better suited to deal w/ tail risks

- Financial institutions' creditors must consider themselves at risk (Cont.)
 - What would the pricing of CoCos, CDS (Hart & Zingales , 2010) /debt under bail-in reflect?
 - CoCo´s conversion rate → to deter shareholders excessive risk taking
 - Conversion at supervisors' discretion → mkt signals reflecting P (PS trigger + financial condition)
 - Limits in comparability across countries and overtime
 - Reduce the attractiveness for investors

- Reaction to market signals needs to be observable
 - Investors have no limitations on analyzing that information and do not suffer from coordination failure when monitoring (retail investors are more likely to have these limitations) (BIS 2003)
 - Sufficiently deep market and liquid markets of Jr LT debt
 - Hence the need of having mandatory requirements
 - Advantage of CDS: standardization and exchanges

Outline

- Balance sheet indicators and market prices: inputs of macroprudential policy
- Preconditions for effective market discipline and its supporting role of macroprudential policy
- Policy conclusions and the way forward

Policy conclusions and the way forward

- In spite of their limitations <u>market prices</u> and <u>balance sheet data</u> either "raw" or as part of a methodology, are under consideration as <u>measuring tools</u> in macroprudential policy
- Market discipline is a necessary condition to ensure their info quality → Supporting role of macroprudential policy
- How?

Policy conclusions and the way forward

- Convergence between accounting standardsetters over global accounting rules
- Supervisors must have private information on "interconnectedness" and "substitutability" to supplement the info content of market prices
- Supervisors' communication of their systemic assessments to the FIs (including stress test) as well as communication of the changes in the stringency of their policy measures could improve the information content of <u>balance</u> <u>sheet indicators</u> and <u>market prices</u>

Policy conclusions and the way forward

- Mandatory requirements of Jr LT Debt which includes bail-in clauses
- supervisors' prompt corrective policy
 credible resolution regimes for failed institutions

 adequate incentives to shareholders and uninsured creditors to engage in risk analysis and act consequently