Banking and Financial Market Research in the Aftermath of the Crisis

The Eighth Annual Risk Conference Chicago, IL April 1, 2015

Hesna Genay Vice President and Monetary and Financial Policy Advisor Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago

The views expressed here are my own and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC), or the Federal Reserve System.

Before the Crisis

Beyond the supervisory and regulatory process, lots of interactions between policymakers, financial market participants, and academics

- Financial market developments one of the key inputs to monetary policy process
- Contact calls with industry participants, surveys (Beige Book, Senior Loan Officer Opinion Survey)
- Advisory councils, Board of Directors of Reserve Banks

Conferences

During and In the Aftermath of the Crisis

- More intensive monitoring of financial markets
- Outreach to a broader group of financial market participants
- Much greater academic interest
- Analyses of more granular data
- Explosion of research on banking and financial issues

Assessing Overall Financial Conditions

Chicago Fed National Financial Conditions Index (NFCI; weekly updates @chicagofed.org)





Overall Financial Conditions

- Predict real activity and likelihood of future economic downturns and financial stress periods well
 - Nonfinancial Leverage sub-index of NFCI
 - good forecaster of financial stress and recessions 2-3 years ahead (Brave and Butters, 2012; *Chicago Fed Letter*)
 - **Critical values for predicting:**
 - Financial stress: 0.25
 - Recessions: 0.99
 - Current value: -0.46
- Similar results: Carlson, King, and Lewis (2011); Gilchrist and Zakrajšek (2012), etc.

Liquidity and Credit Risk

- Impact on bank funding costs during and post crisis (King and Lewis, 2014; Chicago Fed working paper)
- Liquidity risk: largest component of Libor spreads, especially at longer maturities
- Variation in credit risk component is largely due to changes in the sensitivity of Libor to credit risk, rather than changes in the level of credit risk

Managing Liquidity Shocks During the Crisis

Cetorelli and Goldberg -- Liberty Street Economics, @newyorkfed.org

Large, non-global US banks

 share of core deposits in bank funding is key determinant of how funding shocks affect domestic loan growth

Global US banks

- Use internal capital markets (borrowing and lending from foreign affiliates) to cushion the impact of funding shocks
- More important for banks with large unused commitments and low Tier 1 capital ratios
- Official facilities during the crisis moderated the impact of liquidity shocks on bank funding costs and lending.

Interest Rates and Bank Profitability

Genay and Podjasek (2014, Chicago Fed Letter)

Higher interest rates, higher bank profits

	Small banks (TA < 100M)		Large Banks (TA > 10B)	
+100 bp in:	NIM	ROA	NIM	ROA
3-month Treasury Rate	+1.5bp	negligible	+0.3 bp	~1 bp
10-year/3- month Treasury Spread	+1.8 bp	negligible	+0.8 bp	~3.5 bp

Interest Rates and Bank Profitability

But, economic conditions matter even more

1 percentage-point decline in the unemployment rate:

- 9 bp increase in bank ROA
- 3x the largest impact of a comparable increase in the term spread
- 6x the largest impact of a comparable increase in the shortterm rate

8 percentage-point increase in house prices:

- 5 bp increase in ROA

Other Research Topics of Potential Interest

- Measures of systemic risk of individual banks and network analysis of interconnectedness
 - Counter-party risk
- Impact of higher capital requirements on: bank lending, bank profits, and real activity
- Evolution of bank business models and effects on their crisis management
- High frequency trading, financial market utilities
- Effects of mortgage modifications (including HARP) on incidence of defaults, bank profits, etc.
- The European crisis and bank funding costs and activities