

### Enhancing environmental and financial performance on Midwestern farms

Rob Johansson Chief Economist, USDA Chicago, November 2019



#### Real net farm income is projected to fall.



Data: USDA-ERS



#### Agricultural export values projected to rise slightly in 2020, China share to remain down significantly

**Billion dollars** 



Data: USDA





Least U.S. Corn Harvested by November 10, <u>1995-2019</u>

1. 200942%2. 201966%3. 200872%

#### Source: USDA NASS Crop Progress Data





Source: USDA NASS Crop Progress Data





Fewest U.S. Soybeans Harvested by November 10, <u>1995-2019</u>

1. 2009	<b>79%</b>
2. 2019	<b>85%</b>
3. 2018	87%





Source: USDA NASS Crop Progress Data



#### Wheat, corn, and soybean prices

**Dollars per bushel** 



Data: USDA



# So, why focus on environmental performance now? First, most everyone cares a lot about farm and food production, and the environment.

#### **Farmers**

- Recreation
- Uses: clean air, water, clean water
- Profitability
- Liability

Americans farms created value added of roughly \$400 billion in 2017.

Americans spent about \$1.6 trillion on food at home and away from home in 2017.

https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/food-expenditure-series/

#### <u>Public</u>

- Recreation
- Uses: clean air, water, clean water

"...Every year, American consumers spend more on outdoor recreation [\$887 billion] than they do on pharmaceuticals and fuel, combined. In fact, the impact of outdoor recreation on America's economy is almost as big as that of hospital care..."

> Roughly \$170 billion in the Midwest

https://outdoorindustry.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/OIA RecEconomy FINAL Single.pdf

But secondly, because we can focus on improving the financial performance of farms, <u>and</u> limiting their environmental impacts

- 1. Technology and innovation
  - 2. USDA programs
  - 3. Remaining challenges



https://www.canr.msu.edu/resources/management of nitro gen fertilizer to reduce nitrous oxide emissions from fi



https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/facts/deadzone.html



https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/news/historical-hurricanes/



### Technological innovations have helped push up agricultural productivity



Source: USDA-OCE using data from USDA-ERS and historic USDA data (pre-1948)



### Technological innovations have helped push up agricultural productivity



Data: USDA, BLS



#### Figure 1.5.1

Effect on corn yields as different innovations become adopted, 1920-2014

#### Percent of planted area bushels or lbs/acre 100 180 Hybrid seed Corn yield Herbicide GM seed Tractor guidance 80 -144 system 60 -108 -72 40 20 -36 0 1920 1930 1940 1950 1960 1970 1980 1990 2000 2010

Example: Rising corn yields through technological innovation

Data: USDA ERS Agricultural Resources and Environmental Indicators, 2019: https://www.ers.usda.gov/webdocs/public ations/93026/eib-208.pdf?v=2348.3

Note: GM = genetically modified.

Source: USDA, Economic Research Service analysis using data from the National Agricultural Statistics Service, Agricultural Statistics yearbook and the Agricultural Resource Management Survey.

FAO Food Price Index and Sub-Indices (Monthly)

# Recent trends and policies have generally led to falling food prices and growth in trade

2002 - 2004 = 100200,000 Zoom 6m 1y YTD 5y 10y All 180,000 160,000 400 140,000 120,000 300 100,000 80,000 200 60,000 100 40,000 2012 2018 2014 2016 2010 20,000 0 — FAO Food Price Index — Cereals — Oils & Fats — Sugar - Meat - Dairy

200000 180000 160000 140000 120000 100000 80000 60000 40000 20000 0 1960 1970 1990 2000 2010 2020 1980 Oilseed, Soybean Corn

Global corn and soybean exports (1000 mt)

http://www.amis-outlook.org/indicators/prices/en/

Data: USDA



Productivity also can drive environmental performance

>>> increasing lbs per acre application

### **Example: Nitrogen Application Quantity: Corn**



Source: USDA Economic Research Service based on Agricultural Resource Management Survey (ARMS) data for 2005, 2010, and 2015. <u>https://www.usda.gov/oce/oeep/USDA\_Conservation\_Trends.pdf</u>



Productivity also can drive environmental performance

>>> increasing lbs per acre application

The quantity of nitrogen applied on corn acres are increasing

### **Example: Nitrogen Application Quantity: Corn**



Source: USDA Economic Research Service based on Agricultural Resource Management Survey (ARMS) data for 2005, 2010, and 2015. <u>https://www.usda.gov/oce/oeep/USDA\_Conservation\_Trends.pdf</u>



#### Nitrogen Application per Bushel: Corn

But declining excess nitrogen applications

>>> decreasing lbs per bushel



Source: USDA ERS based on ARMS data for 2005, 2010, and 2015. https://www.usda.gov/oce/oeep/USDA Conservation Trends.pdf



#### Nitrogen Application per Bushel: Corn

But declining excess nitrogen applications

#### >>> decreasing lbs per bushel

But nitrogen applications per bushel are decreasing. This demonstrates increasing efficiency of production.



https://www.usda.gov/oce/oeep/USDA Conservation Trends.pdf

# New focus on intensification can improve productivity and environmental outcomes

Pounds Nitrogen Applied per Bushel Corn Produced in Illinois, 1990-2020





# Cow numbers have been falling since the mid-20<sup>th</sup> century, while milk per cow has increased steadily



Data: USDA-NASS



#### Focus: enhancing productivity and the environment

Enteric methane emissions in dairy has dropped 55% from 31 g methane/kg milk in 1924, to 14 g methane/kg milk in 2014 Figure 2: Intensity of enteric methane emissions from dairy cows in the US





#### Farming will generate externalities. How can we achieve MC = MB?

**Voluntary Programs** 

Generally, there will be positive private benefits to conservation practices, but there could be underinvestment.

USDA and other similar programs can provide incentives to boost investments to achieve private and public benefits.



#### How can we promote conservation adoption?

#### **On-Farm Economic Benefits**

- Certain practices can have financial and environmental benefits.
  - Reduced tillage
  - Reduced N applications
  - Precision agriculture
  - Cover crops

#### **Incentive Programs**

- USDA offers a variety of programs to incentivize adoption of conservation practices.
  - CRP
  - EQIP
  - CSP



#### **Break-even prices for conservation adoption**





#### **Break-even prices for conservation adoption**





### Conservation Tillage Benefits:

- Reduces soil erosion
- Reduces runoff
- Improves water management
- Improves soil health
- Reduced time/fuel use





#### Mulch till and no-till adoption vary by region



More farmers are using mulch till than no-till in the Heartland

Fewer farmers are using conservation tillage in the Northeast/Lake States

Source: USDA Agricultural Resource Management data for wheat (2017), corn (2016), and soy (2012): https://www.ers.usda.gov/webdocs/publications/90201/eib-197.pdf?v=1783.8



#### **No-Till Adoption: Corn**

No-till adoption on corn acres in the Corn Belt is slightly decreasing, and is around 20% (2005-2016)



Source: USDA ERS based on ARMS data for 2005, 2010, and 2015. https://www.usda.gov/oce/oeep/USDA Conservation Trends.pdf 28



#### **No-Till Adoption: Soybeans**

No-till adoption on soy acres in the Corn Belt is slightly decreasing, but relatively high– around 50% (2005-2016)



Source: USDA ERS based on ARMS data for 2006 and 2012. 29 https://www.usda.gov/oce/oeep/USDA Conservation Trends.pdf



Cover Crop Benefits:

- Reduce soil erosion
- Reduce runoff
- Improve water management
- Improve soil health
- Provide additional nutrients
- Suppress weeds

Source: USDA NRCS https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE\_DOCUMENT S/stelprdb1082778.pdf





#### **Cover Crop Adoption—All Crops**

Cover crop adoption is increasing, but still low overall (about 5%)



Source: USDA ERS based on ARMS data for 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2015. https://www.usda.gov/oce/oeep/USDA\_Conservation\_Trends.p



#### **Cover Crop Adoption—All Crops**

Cover crop adoption is increasing, but still low overall (about 5%)

The Corn Belt and Lake States are at about 5% adoption, but the Northeast is much higher (around 20%)



Source: USDA ERS based on ARMS data for 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2015. https://www.usda.gov/oce/oeep/USDA\_Conservation\_Trends.pd



#### Percent of acres using cover crops in 2012





#### Percent of acres using cover crops in 2017

Increased adoption in the Eastern and Midwestern States





#### Change in cover crop acreage 2012-2017

**Bright green represents a** 5-39% increase

Dark blue represents a 5-35% decrease





#### **Cover crops can provide yield returns:**

TABLE 2. Percent increase in corn and soybean yields after one, three and five years of consecutive cover crop use on a field, based on a regression analysis of data for crop years 2015 and 2016<sup>1</sup>

	ONE YEAR	THREE YEARS	FIVE YEARS
Corn	0.52%	1.76%	3%
Soybeans	2.12%	3.54%	4.96%

<sup>1</sup>Figures shown are an average of yields from the 2015 and 2016 growing seasons, with yield data obtained from about 500 farmers each year through the SARE/CTIC National Cover Crop Survey.

Source: SARE Cover Crop Economics, 2019 https://www.sare.org/Learning-Center/Bulletins/Cover-Crop-Economics


## **USDA** encouraged cover crop planting on prevented plant acres this year:



### **Prevented or Delayed Planting**

Did heavy rainfall, flooding, or other weather events prevent or delay planting on your farm? USDA is here to help farmers navigate challenges when it comes to prevented planting. USDA offers:

- Prevented planting coverage through USDA-administered crop insurance policies;
- Technical and financial assistance in planting cover crops, a practice common on lands unable to be planted to an insured crop.

Source: USDA https://www.farmers.gov/mana ge/prevented-planting



Precision Agriculture Benefits:

- Apply fertilizer and other inputs in the right place and right rate
- Maximize nutrient benefits while minimizing overapplication
- Financial benefits by reducing inputs

Source: USDA ERS <u>https://www.ers.usda.gov/amber-</u> waves/2016/december/precision-agriculturetechnologies-and-factors-affecting-their-adoption/



## Variable Application Rate Technology Adoption (1998-2016)

VRT adoption, especially on corn (blue line), rapidly increased from 2005-2016



Note: Line markers indicate survey years for each crop.

Source: USDA, Economic Research Service (ERS) estimates using data from ERS and USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service, Agricultural Resource Management Survey, Phase II.

Data: USDA ERS Agricultural Resources and Environmental Indicators, 2019: <u>https://www.ers.usda.gov/webdocs/publications/93026/eib-208.pdf?v=2348.3</u>



## Variable Application Rate Technology for Fertilizers: Corn

In 2005, around 5% of corn farmers used VRT in the Corn Belt.

By 2016, almost 40% of corn farmers used VRT in the Corn Belt.



40 Source: USDA ERS based on ARMS data for 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2015. <u>https://www.usda.gov/oce/oeep/USDA\_Conservation\_Trends.p</u>



## **USDA's broadband programs support precision ag adoption**

- USDA's "A Case for Rural Broadband" report found that meeting rural broadband needs could provide \$18 billion in additional economic benefits
- The USDA ReConnect Program
  offers grants and loans for
  broadband infrastructure
- USDA has invested over \$51m to date





## Acres with high leaching potential in the Western Lake Erie Basin

Targeting resources applies to both a farm level and a programmatic level





## New technologies will continue to drive efficiencies in production

Example: Enhanced Efficiency Fertilizers (EEFs)

- Reduce nitrogen losses to water and air
  - Nitrous oxide emissions from fertilizers are the largest source of greenhouse gas emissions from the ag sector (almost 50% of ag emissions)
- Improve nitrogen use efficiency and yield

## Impact of Enhanced Efficiency Fertilizers on Nitrous Oxide Reductions



## Impact of Enhanced Efficiency Fertilizers on Nitrous Oxide Reductions





## Impact of Enhanced Efficiency Fertilizers on Nitrogen Use Efficiency





## Impact of Enhanced Efficiency Fertilizers on Nitrogen Use Efficiency





## USDA has a role to play in incentivizing conservation adoption

- USDA makes significant investments through Farm Bill programs (EQIP, CSP, CRP, etc.)
- These investments have led to reductions in soil loss, runoff, and sequestered carbon



### **USDA investments in conservation (2009-2018)**



Note: Data expressed in 2018 dollars. Working lands includes: Environmental Quality Incentives Programs, Conservation Stewardship Program and Conservation Technology Assistance. Conservation Reserve Program, Agricultural Conservation Easement Program. All programs include these and their predecessors.



## **Expenditures on top 5 EQIP practices 1998-2015**



Cover crops account for more of EQIP spending over time

Data: USDA ERS Agricultural Resources and Environmental Indicators, 2019: <u>https://www.ers.usda.gov/webdocs/publications/93026/eib-208.pdf?v=2348.3</u>



## USDA conservation spending by state in 2018

#### Total US Spending 2018: 6,187 Million

Note: Data expressed in 2018 dollars. Includes these programs and predecessors: Environmental Quality Incentives Programs, Conservation Stewardship Program, Conservation Technology Assistance, Conservation Reserve Program, Agricultural Conservation Easement Program.



Esri, HERE, Garmin, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS us er community

Source: NRCS RCA Reports



**Distribution of USDA** conservation spending by program, 2018

**Total US Spending** 2018: 6,187 Million

Working lands programs include: **Environmental Quality Incentives Program**, Conservation Stewardship Program.

133 Mil 24 Mil 30 M il 138 Mil 14 Mil 263 Mil 119 Mil 73 Mil 14 Mil 46 M 229 Mil 121 Mil 65 Mil 43 Mil 13 Mil 72 Mil 486 Mil 18 Mil 191 Mil 🕝 18 Mil 249 Mil 109 Mil 37 Mil 51 Mil 33 Mi 56 Mil 208 Mi 161 Mil 160 Mil 2106 Mil 230 Mil 85 Mil 67 M il 5 41 Mil 133 Mil 61 Mil 95 Mil 197 Mil 66 Mil 142 Mil 232 Mil . 139 Mil Working lands Programs 355 Mil **Conservation Reserve Program** 108 Mil **Agricultural Conservation Easements** 

Source: NRCS RCA Reports

Esri, HERE, Garmin, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS user corr



## **Outcomes from USDA conservation investments:**

Average Sediment Loss Reduction 2017–18 2.52 million tons

#### Average Wind Erosion Loss Reduction 2017–18 3.13 million tons



ND 967,481 MN 259,798 WI SD 167,751 555,009 IA NE 131,680 481,442 OH 36,439 IL 30,839 IN 77,940 KS MO 361,030 67,141

Source: USDA CEAP Data



## **Outcomes from USDA conservation investments:**

#### Average nitrogen runoff 2017–18 down 53.5 million lbs.



#### Average phosphorus runoff 2017–18 down 8.91 million lbs.



Source: USDA CEAP Data



## Comparing successful EQIP participation to additionality



Additionality (estimated percent of participants who

adopted because of payments)

Source: ERS, Working Lands Conservation Contract Modifications: Patterns in Dropped Practices

Percent of contracted practices completed as planned



## **Comparing successful EQIP participation to additionality**

Structural practices are additional, but less likely to be completed as planned Additionality (estimated percent of participants who adopted because of payments)



Conservation tillage is not as additional, but very likely to be completed as planned

→ Conservation tillage probably pencils out for farmers

Source: ERS, Working Lands Conservation Contract Modifications: Patterns in Dropped Practices



## Current CRP Enrollment and Expirations

- Current CRP enrollment is at 22 million acres. The 2018 Farm Bill increased the cap to 27 million acres by 2023.
- Expiring CRP Acres
  - 5.36 million acres in FY 2020
  - 3.01 million acres in FY 2021
  - 4.01 million acres in FY 2022







Source: USDA.

## **Continuous Enrollment Has Expanded Relative to General Enrollment**





## **Outcomes from CRP investments:**

- <u>34 million metric tons CO2e stored</u>
- Habitat for over <u>42 million ducks</u> since 1992
- Over <u>9 billion tons of soil erosion</u> reduced since 1986
- In 2017, CRP reduced Phosphorus reaching streams by over <u>100 million</u> <u>pounds</u>, Nitrogen by over <u>½ billion</u> <u>pounds</u>, and sediment by nearly <u>200</u> <u>million tons</u>



**Despite these** investments and improvements in efficiency, we still have externalities

USDA Office of the Chief Economist

**United States Department of Agriculture** 

#### Lake Erie Harmful Algal Bloom Tracker



https://www.glerl.noaa.gov/res/HABs\_and\_Hypoxia/habTracker.html

#### Figure 5-1: 2017 Agriculture Chapter Greenhouse Gas Emission Sources (MMT CO<sub>2</sub> Eq.)







https://www.nola.com/news/article 98aed114-b492-11e9-b48d-2ba5b81fd692.html



## Summary: U.S. agriculture must continue to be productive, while addressing environmental challenges

# USDA has a role in continuing to incentivize conservation adoption.



Source: NRCS RCA Reports

#### Thinking about this in an "intensity" context can help achieve productivity and environmental improvements.



Global Research Alliance: <u>https://globalresearchalliance.org/wp-</u> content/uploads/2015/11/USA-national-dairy-CH4.pdf





Total Factor Productivity grew 170% from 1948-2015. This trend must continue to meet global demand.

Total inputs only grew 7% during this time period. This efficiency is necessary to protect the environment.

**USDA** 

Office of the Chief Economist United States Department of Agriculture

Source: USDA, Economic Research Service, "Agricultural Productivity in the U.S." series.



## Join us on February 20-21, 2020 for USDA's 96<sup>th</sup> annual Agricultural Outlook Forum



**Registration is now open** 

https://www.usda.gov/oce/forum/