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Against the backdrop of news reports on high mortgage delinquency rates, this article examines recent trends in mortgage lending and compares the prime and subprime markets in particular.

In this Chicago Fed Letter, we analyze the different mortgage types (prime versus subprime) and products (adjustable-rate versus fixed-rate) to explain differences in mortgage delinquency rates over time and across the Seventh Federal Reserve District. In light of recent news reports about the problems in the subprime lending market, our purpose is to document the recent trends in mortgage lending and analyze the rising level of delinquencies in the subprime mortgage market.

We show that the subprime mortgage market is facing substantial problems, as measured by delinquency rates, while the prime mortgage market is experiencing more typical delinquency rates, i.e., at historical averages (see figure 1). Within the subprime mortgage market, we observe a substantial increase in delinquency rates, mostly for adjustable-rate mortgages (ARMs). Since the subprime ARM market is less than 7.5% of the overall mortgage market and a vast majority of subprime loans are performing well, we believe that the subprime mortgage problems are not likely to spill over to the rest of the mortgage market or the broader economy. However, looking at the five states in the Seventh District, we find a substantial growth of adjustable-rate subprime lending in Indiana and Michigan—states that have experienced recent slowdowns in economic activity. We also find that Indiana and Michigan have higher delinquency rates than the national average.

What are prime, subprime, and Alt-A mortgages?
The main difference between prime and subprime mortgages lies in the risk profile of the borrower; subprime mortgages are offered to higher-risk borrowers. Specifically, lenders differentiate among mortgage applicants by using loan risk grades based on their past mortgage or rent payment behaviors, previous bankruptcy filings, debt-to-income (DTI) ratios, and the level of documentation provided by the applicants to verify income. Next, lenders determine the price of a mortgage in a given risk grade based on the borrower’s credit risk score, e.g., the Fair, Isaac, and Company (FICO) score, and the size of the down payment.

Lenders generally charge the prevailing prime mortgage rates to borrowers with lower credit risks as reflected by their
2. Adjustable-rate mortgage (ARM) delinquency rates
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Conventional subprime ARMs

Conventional prime ARMs

Note: The delinquencies are for mortgages that are 30, 60, and 90 days past due.
Source: Mortgage Bankers Association.

Mortgage market size and growth

The residential mortgage market in 2006 was $10 trillion, representing one-quarter of the total debt market in the U.S. Over the past few years, the $1.5 trillion subprime mortgage market has experienced exponential growth. According to Inside Mortgage Finance Publications, subprime mortgages accounted for over 20% of all mortgage originations in 2006, up from 6% in 2002; the Alt-A mortgage market alone grew from $85 billion in 2003 to $400 billion in 2006.2

Adjustable-rate mortgages have fixed interest payments initially and adjust after a specified interval to a new interest rate that is based on the prime rate at that time. Data show that ARMs have gained popularity over fixed-rate mortgages across both prime and subprime markets. They usually carry comparatively low initial rates, which increase the appeal of this type of mortgage. The percentage of prime loans that are ARMs, for instance, jumped from 10.6% in December 2001 to 18.2% in December 2006, while the fraction of subprime ARMs rose from 27.6% in December 1998 to about 50% in December 2006.

Evolution of the subprime mortgage banking industry

Subprime mortgages gained popularity in the early 1990s, when falling interest rates made them appealing to homeowners as a way to refinance existing mortgages, consolidate debt, or finance home improvements. The mortgage business landscape transformed as technology made it possible to automate credit checking and underwriting procedures, thereby significantly reducing the time and expense involved in these processes. Furthermore, the use of credit scoring systems made it possible to expedite the evaluation of mortgage applicants’ risk profiles and increase the volume of applications processed.

The expansion of the subprime mortgage market has helped make homeownership possible for households that may not have qualified in the past. While the gains in homeownership are broad based, they are especially large for the minority and low-income communities.3

However, weaker financial conditions and lower credit scores of the subprime borrowers have led to a higher cost of borrowing; this, combined with declining or flat house prices and rising interest rates, has put upward pressure on the delinquency rates for subprime ARM borrowers.

Delinquencies in prime and subprime mortgages

Data provided by the Mortgage Bankers Association indicate that the overall mortgage delinquency rate has been hovering around 4% since the early 1990s. Although the rate has edged up to about 4.9% in the past 12 months,

3. Prime mortgage delinquency rates, by region
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United States
Indiana
Michigan
Illinois
Iowa
Wisconsin

Note: The delinquencies are for mortgages that are 30, 60, and 90 days past due. All delinquency rates are nonseasonally adjusted.
Source: Mortgage Bankers Association.
4. Subprime mortgage delinquency rates, by region

The subprime mortgage market constitutes about 15% of the overall mortgage market, and about 50% of subprime mortgages are ARMs. While there has been a 40% increase in subprime ARM delinquencies over the past two years, the rest of the mortgage market, especially the fixed-rate subprime mortgage market, has not experienced a similar hike in delinquency rates. This suggests that about 7.5% of the overall mortgage market has experienced a significant increase in delinquencies, reducing the likelihood of any spillover effects on the rest of the mortgage market. However, the problem may be more significant for some states, as we discuss in the next section.

**Mortgage activity in the Seventh District**

The growth of the subprime mortgage market has varied across the five states in the Seventh District. For example, the share of subprime mortgages in Michigan grew from 2% in 1998 to just below 16% in 2006, while the share of subprime mortgages in Iowa grew from less than 1% in 1998 to 8% in 2006. In contrast to Indiana and Michigan, the other three states of Illinois, Iowa, and Wisconsin had shares of subprime mortgages that were below the national average of 15.2% at the end of 2006.

Figures 3 and 4 show that Indiana and Michigan, which have experienced slowdowns in the manufacturing sector, have reported higher rates of delinquencies—sometimes twice as high as the national average in both prime and subprime mortgage markets. At the end of 2006, the delinquency rates for prime mortgages were 4.0% and 4.2% for Indiana and Michigan, respectively, while the national average was 2.8% (see figure 3).

At the end of 2006, the delinquency rate for subprime mortgages was 21.1% in Michigan and 14.2% across the nation. All five Seventh District states had higher delinquency rates than the national average, varying between 14.7% in Wisconsin and 21.1% in Michigan (see figure 4).

In addition, Indiana has a higher share of subprime mortgages as a share of total mortgages, thus exacerbating the impact of the subprime problems. Specifically, Indiana’s share is 18.3%, or 3.1% above the national average.

**Can market participants help prevent the spread of subprime problems?**

There are a number of recent public and private initiatives that should help prevent the spread of the subprime problems to the broader economy. Freddie Mac, a U.S. government-sponsored enterprise that issues mortgage-backed securities, has indicated that it would purchase $20 billion of loans from subprime borrowers facing an ARM reset. Fannie Mae, a similar entity, has created a product allowing for 40-year home loans. Also, financial institutions, such as Citibank and Bank of America, have set up a $1 billion fund to help provide subsidized loans to homeowners who
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are on the brink of foreclosure. Additionally, some states have raised funds to help homeowners refinance risky mortgages; for example, Ohio has raised $100 million for this purpose. Other states, such as Pennsylvania, New York, and New Jersey, are pursuing similar strategies.

Finally, in response to the rising level of delinquencies, lending institutions have tightened credit and underwriting standards. Credit spreads on new subprime securitizations have increased, and subprime securities originations have slowed.

These measures, together with better disclosure by lenders, efforts to prevent lending fraud and abuse, and financial counseling for potential and existing borrowers, could go a long way toward helping households keep their financial obligations more manageable and reducing delinquency rates.

1 The Seventh Federal Reserve District comprises all of Iowa and most of Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Wisconsin.